UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,131	03/19/2004	Li-Wen Chao	TOP 362	6385

23995   7590   12/27/2007
RABIN & Berdo, PC
1101 14TH STREET, NW
SUITE 500
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER
JOHNSON, JOHNESE T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2166	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/804,131	CHAO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Johnese Johnson	2166	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *05 October 2007*.

2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) *1-20* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) *1-20* is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on *05 October 2007* is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☒ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date *05 October 2007*.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) ☐ Other: *3-16-2005*.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1.   The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2.   Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Ruaen</u>

(US PG Pub No. 2004/0015408).

As to claim 1, <u>Ruaen</u> discloses:

providing at least one web server, at least one language database and a

management interface, wherein each web server corresponds to at least one

language and displays at least a static data and a dynamic data, each static

data being stored in the corresponding web server, each language database

corresponding to a specific language and storing all dynamic data in specific

language type (see paragraph [0744]); modifying the dynamic data via the

management interface (see paragraph [0023]); and linking each web server to

language databases with same language, such that the web server acquires

corresponding dynamic data (see paragraph [0788]).

As to claim 2, <u>Ruaen</u> discloses:

further comprising combining and displaying the static and dynamic data by the web

server (see paragraph [0696] - site comprised of static and dynamic content).

As to claim 3, <u>Ruaen</u> discloses:

further comprising the following steps:

amending at least one dynamic data via the management interface while the

amended dynamic data required to be updated (see paragraph [0023]); and storing the

amended dynamic data to at least one language database corresponding to the same

language of the amended dynamic data (see paragraph [0759]).

As to claim 4, <u>Ruaen</u> discloses:

wherein the management interface is on at least one of the web servers (see paragraph

[0327]).

As to claim 5, <u>Ruaen</u> discloses:

wherein the management interface is on at least one server including at least one

language database (see paragraphs [0327] and [0744]).

As to claim 6, <u>Ruaen</u> discloses:

wherein each of the language database could be stored on at lease one web server (see paragraph [0744]).

As to claim 7, Ruaen discloses:

wherein the management interface is on the web server that includes the language database (see paragraphs [0327] and [0744]).

As to claim 8, Ruaen discloses:

wherein the language database is stored on a database server(see paragraph [0744]).

As to claim 9, Ruaen discloses:

wherein the management interface is on the database server (see paragraph [0593]).

As to claim 10, Ruaen discloses:

wherein each web server corresponds only to one specific language type (see paragraph [0788]).

As to claim 11, Ruaen discloses:

at least one database server, each database server including at least one language database, each language database corresponding to a specific language and storing at least one dynamic data in the specific language type (see paragraph [0744]);

a management interface to modify the dynamic data (see paragraph [0023]); and at

least one web server coupled with at least one database server and to display both the

static data and the dynamic data, the static data being stored in the web server, the

dynamic data being stored in the language database and are acquired by the web

server (see paragraph [0788]).

As to claim 12, Ruaen discloses:

wherein the management interface is on at least one web server (see paragraph

[0327]).

As to claim 13, Ruaen discloses:

wherein the management interface is on at least one database server (see paragraphs

[0327] and [0744]).

As to claim 14, Ruaen discloses:

wherein each web server further combines and displays the static data and the dynamic

data (see paragraph [0696] - site comprised of static and dynamic content).

As to claim 15, Ruaen discloses:

wherein the management interface further transfers the modified dynamic data in the

specific language type and stores it in the language database while the modified

dynamic data needed to be updated (see paragraphs [0023] and [0759]).

As to claim 16, <u>Ruaen</u> discloses:

wherein the management interface further transfers the modified dynamic data in the

specific language type and stores it in the web server while the modified dynamic data

needed to be updated (see paragraphs [0023] and [0759] – if the db is on the web

server then the dynamic data is also on the web server).

As to claim 17, <u>Ruaen</u> discloses:

a first program code for linking (wherein the examiner is interpreting for linking as

intended use recitation. More definite language such as "to link" should be recited.) to

at least one language database having dynamic data in a specific language type and

storing at least one dynamic data in the specific language type (see paragraph [0788]).;

a second program code for modifying the dynamic data via a management interface

(see paragraph [0023]); and a third program code for transmitting the dynamic data,

especially the modified dynamic data, to the web server in the specific language type

(see paragraph [0759]).

As to claim 18, <u>Ruaen</u> discloses:

further comprising a fourth program code for combining and displaying the static data

and the dynamic data on the web server (see paragraph [0696] - site comprised of static

and dynamic content).

As to claim 19, <u>Ruaen</u> discloses:

wherein the management interface is on at least one web server (see paragraph

[0327]).

As to claim 20, Ruaen discloses:

wherein the language database is stored on at least one database server, and the

management interface is on at least one database server (see paragraphs [0327] and

[0744]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Johnese Johnson whose telephone number is 571-270-

1097. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/5/9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

17 December 2007
JJ

Nereen Abel-Jalil
Primary Examiner